# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

KIP LAMAR SNELL,	
Plaintiff, )	
v. )	Case No. 1:07-cv-898-MHT
CITY OF SLOCOMB, ALABAMA,	
Defendant.	

# **AMENDED ANSWER TO COMPLAINT**

Defendant the City of Slocomb, Alabama, amends its answer to the plaintiff's complaint to state as follows:

# **INTRODUCTION**

1. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 1.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 2. The City of Slocomb admits the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the plaintiff's claims, but denies the substantive allegations of the complaint.
- 3. The City of Slocomb admits venue is proper, but denies the substantive allegations of the complaint.

# **PARTIES**

4. The City of Slocomb admits it employed the plaintiff in its police department, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining averments of Paragraph 4. Therefore, they are denied.

5. The City of Slocomb admits it is a municipality within Geneva County, Alabama.

# COUNT I

- 6. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 6.
- 7. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 7.
- 8. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 8.
- 9. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 9.
- 10. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 10.
- 11. The City of Slocomb denies the allegations of Paragraph 11.

# FIRST DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb denies all of the material allegations of the plaintiff's complaint.

# SECOND DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads the plaintiff was exempt from the overtime provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act.

# THIRD DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads the plaintiff failed to exhaust applicable contractual and/or administrative remedies.

# FOURTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads that it acted in good faith.

# FIFTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads that it acted with a reasonable belief that its conduct was lawful.

#### SIXTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads release.

# SEVENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads accord and satisfaction.

# **EIGHTH DEFENSE**

The City of Slocomb pleads waiver.

# **NINTH DEFENSE**

The City of Slocomb pleads failure to mitigate.

# TENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads the statute of limitations.

# **ELEVENTH DEFENSE**

The City of Slocomb pleads estoppel.

# TWELFTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads 29 U.S.C. § 213(a)(1).

# THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads 29 U.S.C. § 213(b)(20).

# FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads immunity.

# FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads setoff.

# SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads the plaintiff was employed in a bona fide executive capacity.

# SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads the plaintiff was employed in a bona fide administrative capacity.

# EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

The City of Slocomb pleads 29 U.S.C. § 213.

# s/ James H. Pike

James H. Pike (PIK003) Attorney for Defendant The City of Slocomb, Alabama

# OF COUNSEL:

SHEALY, CRUM & PIKE, P.C. P.O. Box 6346 Dothan, Alabama 36302-6346 Tel. (334) 677-3000 Fax (334) 677-0030

E-mail: jpike@scplaw.us

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, James H. Pike, certify that on February 28, 2008, I electronically served this document upon:

Elizabeth B. Glasgow FARMER, PRICE, HORNSBY & WEATHERFORD, LLP P.O. Box 2228 Dothan, Alabama 36302

H. Samuel Prim, III PRIM, FREEMAN AND MENDHEIM, LLC P.O. Box 2147 Dothan, Alabama 36302

s/ James H. Pike

James H. Pike